

## Tilburg University

### Strategic marketing, production, and distribution planning of an integrated manufacturing system

Pourbabai, B.; Ashayeri, J.; Van Wassenhove, L.N.

*Publication date:*  
1992

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Pourbabai, B., Ashayeri, J., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (1992). *Strategic marketing, production, and distribution planning of an integrated manufacturing system*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 583). Unknown Publisher.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal


#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ECO  
CBM  
R R  
7626  
1992  
583

UNIVERSITY  
HOEKE  
UNIVERSITEIT  
BRABANT

POSTBOX 90153  
5000 LE TILBURG  
THE NETHERLANDS



R37  
CIM  
*Production Scheduling  
Distribution*

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS  
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM



**STRATEGIC MARKETING, PRODUCTION, AND  
DISTRIBUTION PLANNING OF AN INTEGRATED  
MANUFACTURING SYSTEM**

Behnam Pourbabai, Jalal Ashayeri,  
Luk van Wassenhove

**FEW 583**

Communicated by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten

# **Strategic Marketing, Production, and Distribution Planning of an Integrated Manufacturing System**

**Behnam Pourbabai**  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
The University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742, USA.

**Jalal Ashayeri**  
Department of Econometrics  
Tilburg University  
5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands

**Luk Van Wassenhove**  
Technology Management Area  
INSEAD, Boulevard de Constance  
Fontainebleau 77305 Cedex, France

## **ABSTRACT**

This paper extends Pourbabai's (1991) results for generating a strategic marketing and production plan for an Integrated Manufacturing System (IMS) to include distribution decisions. The paper introduces additional distribution decision variables and constraints for both of **loading models** proposed by Pourbabai (1991). The new models optimize the utilization of the processing capabilities of an IMS consisting of a set of heterogeneous workstations. The objective to be maximized includes, the fixed and variable market values of each job, the fixed and variable processing costs of each job, the setup costs, and the fixed and variable distribution costs. Each job requires a single aggregated stage of operation; job splitting is allowed; and the processing priorities of all jobs during the planning time horizon are given. **Setup times collapsing** is also allowed, to shorten the completion times of some jobs. The proposed models are fixed charge problems which can be solved by a mixed integer programming algorithm.

**Key Words:** Loading Strategy, Aggregate Scheduling, Aggregate Production and Distribution Planning, Decision Support System, Optimization.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Computer Integrated Manufacturing (CIM) concepts such as CAD, CAM, Group Technology (GT), etc. are implemented in many manufacturing industries. Many companies have improved the entire supplier/ customer chain by sharing information through better communication systems across the full spectrum of the supply chain and by implementing other CIM concepts. However, the potential benefits of CIM have not yet been fully realized. One of the major requirements for achieving full benefits is a general revision of the techniques of planning and control of production and distribution. A careful planning of production and distribution is vital for an efficient exploitation of production capabilities of Integrated Manufacturing Systems (IMS).

In this paper, quantitative decision making models developed by Pourbabai (1991) are extended in order to include distribution decisions. This is necessary when workstations (production lines) are in different locations, in order for the proposed models to properly tradeoff profit components with various costs involved in production and distribution. Indeed, transportation time introduces another constraint which needs to be considered in order to meet the due dates set. In some cases the transportation time is far longer than the manufacturing throughput time. Examples of this type of environment are car or hifi manufacturers who have several production lines in different countries and produce their goods **Just-In-Time**. In such situations the costs and the role of distribution cannot be neglected.

The new models include :

- i) distribution costs such as short term holding costs and transportation costs from workstations to distribution centers and from distribution centers to customers;
- ii) additional constraints to explicitly consider the transportation times from workstations to distribution centers and from distribution centers to customers.

The objective to be maximized models the tradeoffs between total sales income, total processing costs, total distribution costs, and total setup costs of the supply chain. The new models can assist operations managers in selecting potential customer orders such that the net operational profit during the planning horizon will be maximized. Based on these models, the profitable

orders and the lot-sizes of each component of each job at each workstation (production line) will be identified. Furthermore, based on the solutions of these models, the resulting operational plans can be plotted on Gantt charts for shop floor supervisors.

The models are designed to react under dynamic manufacturing environments where a central information system is available. Similar to Pourbabai (1989-b), we recommend to incorporate either the proposed models or their enhanced tailor made versions in an appropriate Decision Support System (DSS), see Figure 1. Such a computer support system will enable the decision makers to plan and control various activities of a modern CIM system. The advantage of having such a computer support system is that whenever the values of those internal and external parameters which influence the outcomes of the decision making process change, those new inputs can readily be considered, and thus a new realistic and comprehensive operational plan can be generated, even on a short term planning horizon. A more detailed discussion of the components of an appropriate DSS can be found in Pourbabai (1989-b). For a discussion of CIM and flexible manufacturing systems, see Randy (1983) and Hartley (1984).

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 includes the assumptions made, the notation, a short discussion about assigning jobs priorities and the mathematical programming models. In section 3, a solution algorithm is presented. Finally, in section 4, some conclusions are drawn.




Figure 1. Flowchart of the proposed Model, Pourbabai (1989-c)

## 2. MODEL COMPONENTS

### 2.a. Limitations and Capabilities

1. The planning horizon needs to be sufficiently short to enable decision makers to explicitly or implicitly consider all changes due to the internal and external information at the beginning of each planning horizon. Hence, new operational plans can be generated as frequently as needed.
2. Workstations (production lines) are situated in different locations.
3. Based on the bill of materials, the following parameters should be identified;
  - i) the number of units of each component of each product. Note that a component may be used in different products. In this paper, the term "job" refers to a batch of identical parts;
  - ii) the due date of each job; and
  - iii) the release date of each job; i.e. the earliest time that a job can start.
4. Each workstation (production line) is designed based on the GT concept (see Waghodekar and Sahu (1983) and Ham et al. (1985)). Furthermore, all operations on a job are assumed to be executable on at least one of the workstations. Hence, we only require an estimate of the total required processing time for each job at each compatible workstation.
5. The required aggregated processing time at each workstation is a random variable and could consist of the following corresponding time components (random variables):
  - i) summation of the processing times of all operations of each generic workpiece belonging to the family of parts at the corresponding workstation;
  - ii) the routing delay time;
  - iii) the operator delay time;
  - iv) the machine loading delay time;
  - v) the machine unloading delay time;



- vi) the breakdown times and the corresponding repair times;
- vii) the material handling delay time.

Thus, the aggregated processing time is in effect the resulting convolution of a finite number of random variables (e.g., routing delay time, loading delay time, etc.). In our models, only the expected value of the aggregated processing time at each workstation is used.

6. If there are jobs that can be processed at compatible workstations, job splitting is allowed for each job. That is, each batch of identical parts can be split among all the compatible workstations which are individually capable of processing all operations. The primary effect of job splitting is to reduce the completion time of each job;
7. The processing order of the jobs is prespecified according to a desirable dispatching rule during the short term planning horizon (see section 2.c).
8. Setup times can sometimes be saved by combining batches of the same job types but with different due dates.

## 2.b. Notations

### Indices:

- $i$  : the job type index;  
( $i=1,\dots,N$ )
- $j$  : the workstation (production line) index;  
( $j=1,\dots,M$ )
- $k$  : the due date index (i.e.  $k=1$  is the first order to be delivered,  $k=2$  the second order to be delivered and so on.);  
( $k=1,\dots,K$ )
- $l$  : the distribution center index;  
( $l=1,\dots,L$ )

### Parameters:

- $J_{i,j,k}$  : = the job with job type index  $i$  of the customer with the due date index  $k$  which has to be produced at workstation  $j$ ;

- $d_{i,k}$  := the number of units of the job type index  $i$  of the customer with the due date index  $k$  (demand quantity);
- $t_{i,j}$  := the time required to process the job type index  $i$  at workstation  $j$ ;
- $D_{i,k}$  := the due date of the job  $i$  of the customer with the due date index  $k$ ;
- $s_{i,j}$  := the setup time required for job  $i$  for processing at workstation  $j$ ;
- $P_i$  := the variable market value of each unit of the job with type index  $i$ ;
- $P_i^*$  := the fixed market value of each unit of the job with type index  $i$ ;
- $C_{i,j,k}^*$  := the fixed cost for processing job  $J_{i,j,k}$ ;
- $C_{i,j,k}'$  := the variable cost for processing job  $J_{i,j,k}$ ;
- $C_{i,j,k}$  := the fixed setup cost for job  $J_{i,j,k}$ ;
- $\alpha_{i,j,k,l}$  := a sufficiently large constant (e.g.  $\alpha_{i,j,k,l} \geq d_{i,k}$ );
- $a_{i,j,l}$  := the variable transportation cost for handling one unit of job  $i$  from workstation  $j$  to distribution center  $l$ ;
- $b_{i,k,l}$  := the variable transportation cost for handling one unit of job  $i$  from distribution center  $l$  to customer with due date index  $k$ ;
- $tw_{j,l}$  := traveling time between workstation  $j$  and distribution center  $l$ ;
- $tc_{k,l}$  := traveling time between distribution center  $l$  and customer with due date index  $k$ ;
- $r_{i,l}$  := holding cost per unit of time for job  $i$  at distribution center  $l$ ;
- $B$  :=  $\max_{i,k} \{D_{i,k}\}$
- $EC_{i,k}$  := earliness cost for one unit of the job with type index  $i$  and due date index  $k$ . This cost is the average holding cost per unit  $(1/L * \sum_l r_{i,l})$ .



### Decision Variables:

$Q_{i,k}$  := 1 , if the job with type index  $i$  and due date index  $k$  is going to be manufactured;  
0 , otherwise;

$X_{i,j,k,l}$  := the number of units of the job with type index  $i$  of customer with due date index  $k$  to be produced at workstation  $j$  and delivered via distribution center  $l$ ;

$Y_{i,j,k,l}$  := 1 , if  $X_{i,j,k,l} > 0$   
0 , if  $X_{i,j,k,l} = 0$ .

$Z_{i,j,k,l}$  := the completion time of the job with type index  $i$  of the customer with due date index  $k$  to be produced at workstation  $j$  and delivered via distribution  $l$ ;

$R_{i,k}$  :=  $\max_{j,l} \{Z_{i,j,k,l} + tw_{j,l} Y_{i,j,k,l} + tc_{k,l} Y_{i,j,k,l}\}$  = delivery time of the job with type index  $i$  to the customer with due date index  $k$ .

$A_{i,j,k,l}$  :=  $Z_{i,j,k,l} * Q_{i,k}$

$E_{i,k}$  := earliness time of the job with type index  $i$  and due date index  $k$ .

### 2.c Assigning Priorities

The reason for specifying the processing order of jobs is that, because of the dynamic nature of the manufacturing process, the plan recommended by the operations managers may not be implementable by the shop floor supervisors. Commonly, by the time the plan to be implemented, the parameters used for generating it may have changed. For this reason, we assume that during the short term planning horizon, the processing order of the jobs is specified based on a dispatching rule which is mutually acceptable by operations managers and shop floor supervisors.

The basic idea of allocating indices of parameters and decision variables of the proposed models according to a prespecified dispatching rule is now described. In general, a job cannot be processed at any workstation, delete its corresponding parameters and decision variables from the model. Note that the proposed models are developed based on the following specific sequence for all jobs to be processed at workstation  $j$ ;

$\{[J_{1,j,1}, J_{2,j,1}, \dots, J_{N,j,1}]; [J_{1,j,2}, J_{2,j,2}, \dots, J_{N,j,2}]; \dots; [J_{1,j,K}, J_{2,j,K}, \dots, J_{N,j,K}]\}$  for  $j=1$  to  $M$ .

Now, **depending on a desirable dispatching rule**, the above indices should be accordingly assigned to various jobs. The following dispatching rule is proposed to demonstrate how this can be implemented at workstation  $j$ ;

- i) among all the  $K$  available due dates, accordingly assign due date index  $k=1, 2, \dots, K$  to the jobs with the earliest due date, next earliest due date, ..., and the latest due date, respectively;
- ii) among all the  $N$  available jobs types with a common due date index, arbitrarily assign the job type index  $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ , to those jobs.

Note that for example, a job with the highest job type index (e.g.,  $i=1$ ) indicates a particular part type which is different than another part type with the lowest job type index (e.g.,  $i=N$ ). It is also noted that for setup times collapsing, all jobs with an identical job type index and different due date indices can be processed together.

The above dispatching rules can be simply restated to accommodate a new discipline by accordingly reassigning the corresponding indices if one desires to use any other dispatching rule.

## 2.c. First Model

In this model, an operational plan is obtained by finding the optimal lot-sizes such that the total net operational profit is maximized, while setup times collapsing is allowed. The model is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\max. \quad \Omega = & \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K P_i^* \cdot Q_{i,k} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L P_i \cdot X_{i,j,k,l} \right. \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L (C_{i,j,k} + C_{i,j,k}^*) \cdot Y_{i,j,k,l} - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L C'_{i,j,k} \cdot X_{i,j,k,l} \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L (a_{i,j,l} + b_{i,k,l}) \cdot X_{i,j,k,l} \\
& \left. - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L r_{i,l} \cdot (D_{i,k} - t w_{j,l} - t c_{k,l} - Z_{i,j,k,l}) \cdot X_{i,j,k,l} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Subject to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k'=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L X_{i,j,k',l} & \geq \sum_{k'=1}^K Q_{i,k'} \cdot d_{i,k'} \\
(i=1, \dots, N ; k=1, \dots, K)
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L X_{i,j,k,l} & = \sum_{k=1}^K Q_{i,k} \cdot d_{i,k} \\
(i=1, \dots, N)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{i,j,k,l} & \geq Z_{i-1,j,k,l} + t_{i,j} \cdot X_{i,j,k,l} + s_{i,j} \cdot Y_{i,j,k,l} \\
(i=2, \dots, N ; j=1, \dots, M ; k=1, \dots, K ; l=1, \dots, L)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1,j,k,l} & \geq Z_{N,j,k-1,l} + t_{1,j} \cdot X_{1,j,k,l} + s_{1,j} \cdot Y_{1,j,k,l} \\
(j=1, \dots, M ; k=2, \dots, K ; l=1, \dots, L)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$R_{i,k} \geq Z_{i,j,k,l} + tw_{j,l} \cdot Y_{i,j,k,l} + tc_{k,l} \cdot Y_{i,j,k,l} \quad (6)$$

$$(i=1,\dots,N ; j=1,\dots,M ; k=1,\dots,K ; l=1,\dots,L)$$

$$R_{i,k} \leq D_{i,k} \quad (7)$$

$$(i=1,\dots,N ; k=1,\dots,K)$$

$$X_{i,j,k,l} \leq \alpha_{i,j,k,l} \cdot Y_{i,j,k,l} \quad (8)$$

$$(i=1,\dots,N ; j=1,\dots,M ; k=1,\dots,K ; l=1,\dots,L)$$

$$X_{i,j,k,l}, Z_{i,j,k,l}, R_{i,k} \geq 0 ; \quad (9)$$

$$Q_{i,k}, Y_{i,j,k,l} \in \{0,1\}$$

$$(i=1,\dots,N ; j=1,\dots,M ; k=1,\dots,K ; l=1,\dots,L)$$

### Constraints Description:

In constraints (2) and (3) binary variable  $Q_{i,k}$  selects which jobs will be manufactured and which jobs will be rejected. Constraint sets (4) and (5) identify the completion time of each job according to the dispatching rule. That is, the completion time of each job must be greater than or equal to the summation of the completion time of its preceding job, its total required processing time, and its required setup time. Constraint sets (6) and (7) are provided to respect the due date given the completion time and transportation times. Constraint set (8) is given to serve three functions;

- i) to appropriately account for the setup times of selected jobs;
- ii) to identify the workstations to be used for processing each job;

- iii) thirdly, to specify the inventory capacity of each workstation by appropriately selecting  $\alpha_{i,j,k,l}$ ; and to prevent allocation of excessive units to the transporter station corresponding to the workstation  $j$ .

### Objective Function Description:

The first term of the objective function indicates the total fixed selling revenue, the second represents the total variable selling revenue, the third takes care of total fixed and variable processing costs, the forth indicates the setup cost, the fifth represents the total transportation cost while the last term indicates the holding costs.

It is obvious that the last term of the objective function is not linear due to multiplication of  $Z_{i,j,k,l}$  and  $X_{i,j,k,l}$ . However, this term can be linearized by the following assumption.

We assume that the production of a job for a given customer is first sent to the distribution center(s) and upon the completion of the whole job, the products are transported from the distribution center (s) to the customer. This assumption which is a good approximation allows us to implement the EOQ concept and replace  $\sum_j \sum_l X_{i,j,k,l}$  by the average inventory size in all distribution centers,  $d_{i,k}/2$ . Because a job with priority index  $i$  for a given customer with due date index  $k$  may not be produced at all, we multiply  $d_{i,k}/2$  by  $Q_{i,k}$ . Thus we get  $Z_{i,j,k,l} * d_{i,k}/2 * Q_{i,k}$  which is still not linear but can be easily linearized as the term is composed of a continuous and a 0-1 variable. For this purpose we introduce the continuous variable  $A_{i,j,k,l}$  as defined in the list of variables. For the linearization we need also to add the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j,k,l} &\leq B \cdot Q_{i,k} \\ (i=1,\dots,N ; j=1,\dots,M ; k=1,\dots,K ; l=1,\dots,L) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j,k,l} &\leq Z_{i,j,k,l} \\ (i=1,\dots,N ; j=1,\dots,M ; k=1,\dots,K ; l=1,\dots,L) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
A_{i,j,k,l} &\geq Z_{i,j,k,l} - B \cdot (1 - Q_{i,k}) \\
(i=1,\dots,N ; j=1,\dots,M ; k=1,\dots,K ; l=1,\dots,L)
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}$$

The last term in the objective function, the inventory holding cost, will then change from:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L r_{i,l} (D_{i,k} - tw_{j,l} - tc_{k,l} - Z_{i,j,k,l}) \cdot X_{i,j,k,l}
\tag{13}$$

to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L r_{i,l} (D_{i,k} - tw_{j,l} - tc_{k,l}) \cdot X_{i,j,k,l} - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{r_{i,l}}{L} \cdot \frac{d_{i,k}}{2} \cdot A_{i,j,k,l}
\tag{14}$$

Notice that the inventory cost is divided by L to get the average holding cost. Another way of linearizing the last term is to replace (13) by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K EC_{i,k} \cdot E_{i,k}
\tag{15}$$

or the total earliness cost, and change constraint set (7) by:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{i,k} + E_{i,k} &= D_{i,k} \cdot Q_{i,k} \\
(i=1,\dots,N ; k=1,\dots,K)
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}$$

The second approach aggregates more the inventory holding costs and reduces the complexity of the model.

## 2.d. Second Model

In this model, an operational plan is obtained by finding the optimal lot-sizes such that the total net operational profit is maximized, while setup times collapsing is not allowed. The only difference between the second model and the first model is as follows; constraints (2) and (3) in the first model are replaced by the following constraint (17). Thus, the production quantity of each job type must equal its demand quantity.

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^L X_{i,j,k,l} = Q_{i,k} d_{i,k} \quad (17)$$

$(i=1,\dots,N ; k=1,\dots,K)$

Note that the above model does have an application in a **just-in-time** manufacturing environment. To provide additional information, figure 2 is given. There, assuming that  $X_{i,j,k,l} > 0$ , for  $i=1$  to  $N$ ,  $j=1$  to  $M$ ,  $k=1$  to  $K$ , and  $l=1$  to  $L$ , a typical solution is plotted on the corresponding Gantt chart to provide more insights.




Figure 2. Gantt Chart of an Operational Plan.

### 3. SOLUTION ALGORITHMS

The models introduced in the previous section are fixed charge problems which are represented by compatible mixed binary linear programming models and can be solved by one of the standard algorithms which have been developed for solving such problems. For a review of some of those algorithms see Shapiro (1979). In this paper, in order to improve the computational performance of the model, it is assumed that each integral  $X_{i,j,k,l}$  variable can be treated as a continuous variable. Note that this latter assumption is justified given that the production quantities at each workstation are sufficiently large.

There already exist computationally effective commercial softwares for solving our models. For example, see IBM Mixed Integer Programming/370 Program Reference (1975) and IBM Mathematical Programming system Extended/370 Program Reference Manual (1979), or IBM Optimization Subroutine Library (OSL) (1990). These later softwares have extensively been applied in Crowder, Johnson, and Padberg's (1983) study of large scale binary linear programming problems. MPSARX developed by Van Roy and Wolsey (1987) is a state-of-the-art Mathematical Programming system (MPS) that can be implemented for solving our models. MPSARX consists of two modules, an MPS system including all standard features and techniques for solving linear programming and mixed integer programming and an Automatic Reformulation Executor (ARX) whose goal it is to speed up the solution of MIP problem, by producing an improved formulation based on pre- and post-processing of the problem and dynamic cut generation procedures. For additional references, see also Van Roy (1983), Van Roy and Wolsey (1983), Van Roy (1989), Mikhalevich (1983), Jackson and O'Neil (1983), Cote and Laughton (1984), Glover (1984), and Jeroslow (1984-a and b). Finally, for a review of the performance evaluation literature of mixed binary programming algorithms, see von Randow (1985, pp. 198 and 199).

Obviously for large problem the models may be hard to solve to optimality with current computer hard and software. In such cases one may therefore be forced to stop the procedure early or to develop an appropriate heuristic. Solution techniques such as Simulated Annealing or Tabu search have the potentials to be implemented for large scale problems. Computational work is the subject of our current research to be reported in a follow-up paper. We are confident that in the near future, more powerful computer hardware will become available in an affordable price range. This will make our models more accessible and applicable.

#### **4. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Increasing implementation of Computer Integrated Manufacturing concepts raises issues in planning of production and distribution of an IMS. The issues relate to interaction and impacts of different production and distribution decisions on company profit. In order to tackle these issues we extended the models developed by Pourbabai (1991). The extended models have considered the integrated tradeoffs among several marketing, manufacturing, and distribution factors on the net operational profit during the short term planning horizon. The models can be distinguished from many other available models because of the fact that they always result in an optimal feasible solution. The following propositions indicate this fact.

##### **Proposition 1:**

The proposed models result in optimal feasible solutions.

##### **Proof**

Because of the term  $Q_{i,k}$ , for  $i = 1$  to  $N$  and  $k = 1$  to  $K$ , the proposed models are guaranteed to have feasible solutions (e.g., all orders may be rejected). Then, from standard arguments for mixed integer programming algorithms, the optimality can be guaranteed.

The following proposition indicates that setup times collapsing could increase the net operational profit during the planning horizon.

**Proposition 2:**

$$\Omega \geq \Omega^*$$

**Proof:**

Setup times collapsing may shorten the completion times of some jobs. Thus, the maximum of the net operational profit accordingly increase, which results in  $\Omega \geq \Omega^*$ .

In summary, the models provide insights for the decision makers. Depending on the capabilities required for a specific application, an operations manager should select the required features of the models before using them. The extension shows that the models can be improved further if necessary by considering additional technological and operational limitations and capabilities.



## REFERENCES

1. Cote, G. and M.A. Laughton, (1984), Large Scale Mixed Integer Programming: Bender-type Heuristics, *E.J. of Operational Research*, 16, 327-333.
2. Crowder H., E. L. Johnson, and M. Padberg (1983), Solving Large-Scale Zero-One Linear Programming Problems, *Operations Research*, 31, 5, 803-834.
3. Glover, F., (1984), An Improved MIP Formulation for Products of Discrete and Continuous Variables, *J. of Information & Optimization Science*, (Dehli), 3, 196-208.
4. Ham, I., Hitomi, K., and Yoshida, T., 1985. Group Technology: Applications to Production Management, International Series in Management Science/Operations Research, Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing.
5. Hartley, J., 1984, FMS at Work, IFS (publications) Ltd., UK and North-Holland Publishing Co.
6. IBM Mixed Integer Programming/370 (MIP/370) Program Reference Manual, (1975), Form number SH19-1099, IBM Corporation.
7. IBM Mathematical Programming System Extended/370 (MPSX/370) Program Reference Manual, (1979), form number SH19-1095, IBM Corporation.
8. IBM's OSL Reference Manual, (1990), IBM Corporation, NY, USA.
9. Jackson, R.H.F. and R.P. O'Neil, (1983), Mixed Integer Programming Systems, A Joint Publication of the Computer Programming Society, Washington, D.C., USA, ca, 100 p.
10. Jeroslow, R.G., (1984), Representability in Mixed Integer Programming, 1: Characterization Results, Working Paper, Atlanta: Georgia Institute of Technology, School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, 59 p.
11. Jeroslow, r.G., (1984), Representability in Mixed Integer Programming, 1: A Lattice of Relaxations, Working Paper, Atlanta: Georgia Institute of Technology, School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, 79 p.
12. Mikhalevich, V.S., L.V. Volkovich, A.F., Voloshin, and S.O., Maschenko, (1983), A Successive Approach to Solution of Mixed Problems of Linear Programming, (Russian), *Kibernetika* (Kiev), 1, 34-39.

13. Pourbabai, B., (1989-a), A Strategic Integrated Marketing and Production Planning Model, *Int. J. of Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 2, 6, 339-345.
14. Pourbabai, B., (1989-b), Components of a Decision Support System for Computer Integrated Manufacturing, in *Int. J. of Integrated Manufacturing*, 1,4, 253-261.
15. Pourbabai, B., (1989-b), A Short Term Production Planning and Scheduling Model, to appear in *Int. J. of Engineering Costs and Production Economics*.
16. Pourbabai, B., (1991), Developing A Strategic Marketing and Production Plan for An Integrated Manufacturing System: With Setup Time Collapsing, Working Paper, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Maryland, U.S.A.
17. Randy, P.G., (1983), The Design and Operation of FMS, Flexible Manufacturing Systems, IFS (publications) Ltd., UK North-Holland Publishing Col.
18. Shapiro, J., (1979), *Mathematical Programming: Structures and Algorithms*, New York, Wiley.
19. Van Roy, T.J., (1983), Cross Decomposition for Mixed Integer Programming, *Mathematical Programming*, 25, 46-63.
20. Van Roy, T.J., and L.A. Wolsey, (1983), Valid Inequalities for Mixed 0-1 Programs, CORE Discussion Paper 8316, Louvain-la-Neuve: Center for Operations Research and Economics, 19 p.
21. Van Roy, T.J., and L.A. Wolsey, (1987), Solving Mixed 0-1 Integer Programming by Automatic Reformulation, *Operations Research*, 35, 45-57.
22. Van Roy, T.J. (1989), A Profit-maximizing Plant-loading Model with Demand Fill-rate Constraints, *Journal of Operational Research Society*, 40, 1019-1027.
23. Von Randow, R. (1985), Integer Programming and Related Areas, Classified Bibliography, 1981-1984, *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems*, (ed. R. von Randow), Springer-Verlag.
24. Waghodekar, P.K. and Sahu, S., (1983), Group Technology: A Research Bibliography, *Research*, 20, 4, 225-249.

## IN 1991 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 466 Prof.Dr. Th.C.M.J. van de Klundert - Prof.Dr. A.B.T.M. van Schaik  
Economische groei in Nederland in een internationaal perspectief
- 467 Dr. Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger  
The convergence of monetary policy - Germany and France as an example
- 468 E. Nijssen  
Strategisch gedrag, planning en prestatie. Een inductieve studie binnen de computerbranche
- 469 Anne van den Nouweland, Peter Borm, Guillermo Owen and Stef Tijs  
Cost allocation and communication
- 470 Drs. J. Grazell en Drs. C.H. Veld  
Motieven voor de uitgifte van converteerbare obligatieleningen en warrant-obligatieleningen: een agency-theoretische benadering
- 471 P.C. van Batenburg, J. Kriens, W.M. Lammerts van Bueren and R.H. Veenstra  
Audit Assurance Model and Bayesian Discovery Sampling
- 472 Marcel Kerkhofs  
Identification and Estimation of Household Production Models
- 473 Robert P. Gilles, Guillermo Owen, René van den Brink  
Games with Permission Structures: The Conjunctive Approach
- 474 Jack P.C. Kleijnen  
Sensitivity Analysis of Simulation Experiments: Tutorial on Regression Analysis and Statistical Design
- 475 C.P.M. van Hoesel  
An  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm for the two-machine flow shop problem with controllable machine speeds
- 476 Stephan G. Vanneste  
A Markov Model for Opportunity Maintenance
- 477 F.A. van der Duyn Schouten, M.J.G. van Eijs, R.M.J. Heuts  
Coordinated replenishment systems with discount opportunities
- 478 A. van den Nouweland, J. Potters, S. Tijs and J. Zarzuelo  
Cores and related solution concepts for multi-choice games
- 479 Drs. C.H. Veld  
Warrant pricing: a review of theoretical and empirical research
- 480 E. Nijssen  
De Miles and Snow-typologie: Een exploratieve studie in de meubel-  
branche
- 481 Harry G. Barkema  
Are managers indeed motivated by their bonuses?



- 482 Jacob C. Engwerda, André C.M. Ran, Arie L. Rijkeboer  
Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation  $X + A^+ X^{-1} A = I$
- 483 Peter M. Kort  
A dynamic model of the firm with uncertain earnings and adjustment costs
- 484 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus, Peter M. Kort  
Optimal taxation on profit and pollution within a macroeconomic framework
- 485 René van den Brink, Robert P. Gilles  
Axiomatizations of the Conjunctive Permission Value for Games with Permission Structures
- 486 A.E. Brouwer & W.H. Haemers  
The Gewirtz graph - an exercise in the theory of graph spectra
- 487 Pim Adang, Bertrand Melenberg  
Intratemporal uncertainty in the multi-good life cycle consumption model: motivation and application
- 488 J.H.J. Roemen  
The long term elasticity of the milk supply with respect to the milk price in the Netherlands in the period 1969-1984
- 489 Herbert Hamers  
The Shapley-Entrance Game
- 490 Rezaul Kabir and Theo Vermaelen  
Insider trading restrictions and the stock market
- 491 Piet A. Verheyen  
The economic explanation of the jump of the co-state variable
- 492 Drs. F.L.J.W. Manders en Dr. J.A.C. de Haan  
De organisatorische aspecten bij systeemontwikkeling een beschouwing op besturing en verandering
- 493 Paul C. van Batenburg and J. Kriens  
Applications of statistical methods and techniques to auditing and accounting
- 494 Ruud T. Frambach  
The diffusion of innovations: the influence of supply-side factors
- 495 J.H.J. Roemen  
A decision rule for the (des)investments in the dairy cow stock
- 496 Hans Kremers and Dolf Talman  
An SLSPP-algorithm to compute an equilibrium in an economy with linear production technologies

- 497 L.W.G. Strijbosch and R.M.J. Heuts  
Investigating several alternatives for estimating the compound lead time demand in an (s,Q) inventory model
- 498 Bert Bettonvil and Jack P.C. Kleijnen  
Identifying the important factors in simulation models with many factors
- 499 Drs. H.C.A. Roest, Drs. F.L. Tijssen  
Beheersing van het kwaliteitsperceptieproces bij diensten door middel van keurmerken
- 500 B.B. van der Genugten  
Density of the F-statistic in the linear model with arbitrarily normal distributed errors
- 501 Harry Barkema and Sytse Douma  
The direction, mode and location of corporate expansions
- 502 Gert Nieuwenhuis  
Bridging the gap between a stationary point process and its Palm distribution
- 503 Chris Veld  
Motives for the use of equity-warrants by Dutch companies
- 504 Pieter K. Jagersma  
Een etiologie van horizontale internationale ondernemingsexpansie
- 505 B. Kaper  
On M-functions and their application to input-output models
- 506 A.B.T.M. van Schaik  
Productiviteit en Arbeidsparticipatie
- 507 Peter Borm, Anne van den Nouweland and Stef Tijs  
Cooperation and communication restrictions: a survey
- 508 Willy Spanjers, Robert P. Gilles, Pieter H.M. Ruys  
Hierarchical trade and downstream information
- 509 Martijn P. Tummers  
The Effect of Systematic Misperception of Income on the Subjective Poverty Line
- 510 A.G. de Kok  
Basics of Inventory Management: Part 1  
Renewal theoretic background
- 511 J.P.C. Blanc, F.A. van der Duyn Schouten, B. Pourbabai  
Optimizing flow rates in a queueing network with side constraints
- 512 R. Peeters  
On Coloring j-Unit Sphere Graphs



- 513 Drs. J. Dagevos, Drs. L. Oerlemans, Dr. F. Boekema  
Regional economic policy, economic technological innovation and networks
- 514 Erwin van der Krabben  
Het functioneren van stedelijke onroerend-goed-markten in Nederland - een theoretisch kader
- 515 Drs. E. Schaling  
European central bank independence and inflation persistence
- 516 Peter M. Kort  
Optimal abatement policies within a stochastic dynamic model of the firm
- 517 Pim Adang  
Expenditure versus consumption in the multi-good life cycle consumption model
- 518 Pim Adang  
Large, infrequent consumption in the multi-good life cycle consumption model
- 519 Raymond Gradus, Sjak Smulders  
Pollution and Endogenous Growth
- 520 Raymond Gradus en Hugo Keuzenkamp  
Arbeidsongeschiktheid, subjectief ziektegevoel en collectief belang
- 521 A.G. de Kok  
Basics of inventory management: Part 2  
The (R,S)-model
- 522 A.G. de Kok  
Basics of inventory management: Part 3  
The (b,Q)-model
- 523 A.G. de Kok  
Basics of inventory management: Part 4  
The (s,S)-model
- 524 A.G. de Kok  
Basics of inventory management: Part 5  
The (R,b,Q)-model
- 525 A.G. de Kok  
Basics of inventory management: Part 6  
The (R,s,S)-model
- 526 Rob de Groof and Martin van Tuijl  
Financial integration and fiscal policy in interdependent two-sector economies with real and nominal wage rigidity

- 527 A.G.M. van Eijs, M.J.G. van Eijs, R.M.J. Heuts  
Gecoördineerde bestelsystemen  
een management-georiënteerde benadering
- 528 M.J.G. van Eijs  
Multi-item inventory systems with joint ordering and transportation  
decisions
- 529 Stephan G. Vanneste  
Maintenance optimization of a production system with buffercapacity
- 530 Michel R.R. van Bremen, Jeroen C.G. Zijlstra  
Het stochastische variantie optiewaarderingsmodel
- 531 Willy Spanjers  
Arbitrage and Walrasian Equilibrium in Economies with Limited Infor-  
mation

## IN 1992 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 532 F.G. van den Heuvel en M.R.M. Turlings  
Privatisering van arbeidsongeschiktheidsregelingen  
Refereed by Prof.dr. H. Verbon
- 533 J.C. Engwerda, L.G. van Willigenburg  
LQ-control of sampled continuous-time systems  
Refereed by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 534 J.C. Engwerda, A.C.M. Ran & A.L. Rijkeboer  
Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation  $X + A^*X^{-1}A = Q$ .  
Refereed by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 535 Jacob C. Engwerda  
The indefinite LQ-problem: the finite planning horizon case  
Refereed by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 536 Gert-Jan Otten, Peter Borm, Ton Storcken, Stef Tijs  
Effectivity functions and associated claim game correspondences  
Refereed by Prof.dr. P.H.M. Ruys
- 537 Jack P.C. Kleijnen, Gustav A. Alink  
Validation of simulation models: mine-hunting case-study  
Refereed by Prof.dr.ir. C.A.T. Takkenberg
- 538 V. Feltkamp and A. van den Nouweland  
Controlled Communication Networks  
Refereed by Prof.dr. S.H. Tijs
- 539 A. van Schaik  
Productivity, Labour Force Participation and the Solow Growth Model  
Refereed by Prof.dr. Th.C.M.J. van de Klundert
- 540 J.J.G. Lemmen and S.C.W. Eijffinger  
The Degree of Financial Integration in the European Community  
Refereed by Prof.dr. A.B.T.M. van Schaik
- 541 J. Bell, P.K. Jagersma  
Internationale Joint Ventures  
Refereed by Prof.dr. H.G. Barkema
- 542 Jack P.C. Kleijnen  
Verification and validation of simulation models  
Refereed by Prof.dr.ir. C.A.T. Takkenberg
- 543 Gert Nieuwenhuis  
Uniform Approximations of the Stationary and Palm Distributions of Marked Point Processes  
Refereed by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten

- 544 R. Heuts, P. Nederstigt, W. Roebroek, W. Selen  
Multi-Product Cycling with Packaging in the Process Industry  
Refereed by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten
- 545 J.C. Engwerda  
Calculation of an approximate solution of the infinite time-varying  
LQ-problem  
Refereed by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 546 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus and Peter M. Kort  
On time-inconsistency and pollution control: a macroeconomic approach  
Refereed by Prof.dr. A.J. de Zeeuw
- 547 Drs. Dolph Cantrijn en Dr. Rezaul Kabir  
De Invloed van de Invoering van Preferente Beschermingsaandelen op  
Aandelenkoersen van Nederlandse Beursgenoteerde Ondernemingen  
Refereed by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland
- 548 Sylvester Eijffinger and Eric Schaling  
Central bank independence: criteria and indices  
Refereed by Prof.dr. J.J. Sijben
- 549 Drs. A. Schmeits  
Geïntegreerde investerings- en financieringsbeslissingen; Implicaties  
voor Capital Budgeting  
Refereed by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland
- 550 Peter M. Kort  
Standards versus standards: the effects of different pollution  
restrictions on the firm's dynamic investment policy  
Refereed by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten
- 551 Niels G. Noorderhaven, Bart Nooteboom and Johannes Berger  
Temporal, cognitive and behavioral dimensions of transaction costs;  
to an understanding of hybrid vertical inter-firm relations  
Refereed by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 552 Ton Storcken and Harrie de Swart  
Towards an axiomatization of orderings  
Refereed by Prof.dr. P.H.M. Ruys
- 553 J.H.J. Roemen  
The derivation of a long term milk supply model from an optimization  
model  
Refereed by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten
- 554 Geert J. Almekinders and Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger  
Daily Bundesbank and Federal Reserve Intervention and the Conditional  
Variance Tale in DM/\$-Returns  
Refereed by Prof.dr. A.B.T.M. van Schaik
- 555 Dr. M. Hetebrij, Drs. B.F.L. Jonker, Prof.dr. W.H.J. de Freytas  
"Tussen achterstand en voorsprong" de scholings- en personeelsvoor-  
zieningsproblematiek van bedrijven in de procesindustrie  
Refereed by Prof.dr. Th.M.M. Verhallen

- 556 Ton Geerts  
Regularity and singularity in linear-quadratic control subject to implicit continuous-time systems  
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher
- 557 Ton Geerts  
Invariant subspaces and invertibility properties for singular systems: the general case  
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher
- 558 Ton Geerts  
Solvability conditions, consistency and weak consistency for linear differential-algebraic equations and time-invariant singular systems: the general case  
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher
- 559 C. Fricker and M.R. Jaïbi  
Monotonicity and stability of periodic polling models  
Communicated by Prof.dr.ir. O.J. Boxma
- 560 Ton Geerts  
Free end-point linear-quadratic control subject to implicit continuous-time systems: necessary and sufficient conditions for solvability  
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher
- 561 Paul G.H. Mulder and Anton L. Hempenius  
Expected Utility of Life Time in the Presence of a Chronic Noncommunicable Disease State  
Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten
- 562 Jan van der Leeuw  
The covariance matrix of ARMA-errors in closed form  
Communicated by Dr. H.H. Tigelaar
- 563 J.P.C. Blanc and R.D. van der Mei  
Optimization of polling systems with Bernoulli schedules  
Communicated by Prof.dr.ir. O.J. Boxma
- 564 B.B. van der Genugten  
Density of the least squares estimator in the multivariate linear model with arbitrarily normal variables  
Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper
- 565 René van den Brink, Robert P. Gilles  
Measuring Domination in Directed Graphs  
Communicated by Prof.dr. P.H.M. Ruys
- 566 Harry G. Barkema  
The significance of work incentives from bonuses: some new evidence  
Communicated by Dr. Th.E. Nijman



- 567 Rob de Groof and Martin van Tuijl  
Commercial integration and fiscal policy in interdependent, financially integrated two-sector economies with real and nominal wage rigidity.  
Communicated by Prof.dr. A.L. Bovenberg
- 568 F.A. van der Duyn Schouten, M.J.G. van Eijs, R.M.J. Heuts  
The value of information in a fixed order quantity inventory system  
Communicated by Prof.dr. A.J.J. Talman
- 569 E.N. Kertzman  
Begrotingsnormering en EMU  
Communicated by Prof.dr. J.W. van der Dussen
- 570 A. van den Elzen, D. Talman  
Finding a Nash-equilibrium in noncooperative N-person games by solving a sequence of linear stationary point problems  
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.H. Tijs
- 571 Jack P.C. Kleijnen  
Verification and validation of models  
Communicated by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten
- 572 Jack P.C. Kleijnen and Willem van Groenendaal  
Two-stage versus sequential sample-size determination in regression analysis of simulation experiments
- 573 Pieter K. Jagersma  
Het management van multinationale ondernemingen: de concernstructuur
- 574 A.L. Hempenius  
Explaining Changes in External Funds. Part One: Theory  
Communicated by Prof.Dr.Ir. A. Kapteyn
- 575 J.P.C. Blanc, R.D. van der Mei  
Optimization of Polling Systems by Means of Gradient Methods and the Power-Series Algorithm  
Communicated by Prof.dr.ir. O.J. Boxma
- 576 Herbert Hamers  
A silent duel over a cake  
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.H. Tijs
- 577 Gerard van der Laan, Dolf Talman, Hans Kremers  
On the existence and computation of an equilibrium in an economy with constant returns to scale production  
Communicated by Prof.dr. P.H.M. Ruys
- 578 R.Th.A. Wagemakers, J.J.A. Moors, M.J.B.T. Janssens  
Characterizing distributions by quantile measures  
Communicated by Dr. R.M.J. Heuts

- 579 J. Ashayeri, W.H.L. van Esch, R.M.J. Heuts  
Amendment of Heuts-Selen's Lotsizing and Sequencing Heuristic for  
Single Stage Process Manufacturing Systems  
Communicated by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten
- 580 H.G. Barkema  
The Impact of Top Management Compensation Structure on Strategy  
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 581 Jos Benders en Freek Aertsen  
Aan de lijn of aan het lijntje: wordt slank produceren de mode?  
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 582 Willem Haemers  
Distance Regularity and the Spectrum of Graphs  
Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper

**Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant**



**17 000 01109979 4**